PATENT S/N 10/786,677

TATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Stewart BADER Vor et al. Applicant:

Examiner: Lam T. Mai

Serial No.:

10/786,677

Group Art Unit: 2819

Filed:

February 25, 2004

Docket: 884.B91US1

Title:

AMPLIFIER DISTORTION MANAGEMENT APPARATUS, SYSTEMS, AND

METHODS (As Amended)

Assignee:

Intel Corporation

Customer No: 21186

AMENDMENT TO CORRECT INVENTORSHIP PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. 1.48(a)

MS Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.48(a), please correct the inventorship of the above-identified application from the inventorship of Stewart S. Taylor and Ian A. Rippke to the inventorship of Stewart S. Taylor, Ian A. Rippke and Georgios Palaskas.

This amendment is accompanied by: (a) a Substitute Declaration and Power of Attorney signed by the Georgios Palaskas; (b) a Substitute Declaration and Power of Attorney signed by Stewart S. Taylor and Ian A. Rippke; (c) a Petition to Correct Inventorship Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(a) signed by Georgios Palaskas; (d) authorization to charge Deposit Account No. 19-0743 in the amount of the petition fee of \$130.00; and (e) the executed Consent of Assignee to Correction of Inventorship. Additionally enclosed is an Assignment to Intel Corporation by Georgio Palaskas including cover sheet and \$40 fee for recordation.

09/19/2005 MAHMED1 00000085 190743 10786677

01 FC:1464

130.00 DA

AMENDMENT TO CORRECT INVENTORSHIP PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. 1.48(a)

Serial Number: 10/786,677

Filing Date: February 25, 2004

Title: AMPLIFIER DISTORTION MANAGEMENT APPARATUS, SYSTEMS, AND METHODS (As Amended)

Assignee: Intel Corporation

Since the error arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the individual, who through error, was not named as an inventor, it is submitted that the entry of this amendment is appropriate and is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

STEWART S. TAYLOR ET AL.

By their Representatives, SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. Attorneys for Intel Corporation P.O. Box 2938 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 612-349-9592

Page 2

Dkt: 884.B91US1

Date 0 ept. 13 2005

Wallal Wall

Ann M McCrackin Reg. No. 42,858

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this ____13th___ day of September 2005.

Name

Signature

PATENT IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

bolicant:

Stewart S. Taylor et al.

Examiner: Unknown

Serial No.:

10/786,677

Group Art Unit: 2817

Filed:

February 25, 2004

Docket: 884.B91US1

Title:

DISTORTION MANAGEMENT APPARATUS, SYSTEMS, AND METHODS

Assignee:

Intel Corporation

Customer No: 21186

PETITION TO CORRECT INVENTORSHIP UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.48(a)

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I, Georgios Palaskas, make this petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.48(a), to correct the inventorship of the invention claimed in the above-identified patent application, U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/786,677, filed on February 25, 2004.

When the present application was filed, Stewart S. Taylor and Ian A. Rippke were named as the inventors. However, after the present application was filed, patent counsel for Applicants determined that I, Georgios Palaskas, was a co-inventor of the subject matter claimed at the time the present application was filed. Petitioner hereby requests that Georgios Palaskas be added as inventor of the above-identified application.

Furthermore, Georgios Palaskas hereby states that this error in inventorship, as set forth in error in the executed 37 C.F.R. 1.63 declaration filed on February 25, 2004 in the aboveidentified application, arose without deceptive intent on my part.

Therefore, correction of the inventorship of the present application is appropriate under 37 C.F.R. 1.48(a), and is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 19-0743 for the fee of \$130.00 as required under 37 C.F.R. 1.17(i).

alaskas



PATENT S/N 10/786,677

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Stewart S. Taylor et al.

Examiner: Unknown

Serial No.:

Assignee:

10/786,677

Group Art Unit: 2817

Filed:

February 25, 2004

Docket: 884.B91US1

Title:

DISTORTION MANAGEMENT APPARATUS, SYSTEMS, AND METHODS **Intel Corporation**

Customer No: 21186

CONSENT OF ASSIGNEE TO CORRECTION OF INVENTORSHIP

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Intel Corporation, 2200 Mission College Blvd., Santa Clara, CA 95052, being the owner of the above-identified application, as evidenced by the Assignment recorded with the U.S. Patent Office on February 25, 2004 at Reel 015026, Frames 0124-0128, hereby consents to the change of inventorship in the above-identified application from the inventorship of Stewart S. Taylor and Ian A. Rippke, to the inventorship of Stewart S. Taylor, Ian A. Rippke and Georgios Palaskas.

I declare that I am an Official of the Assignee who is empowered to authorize this consent.

Chief Patent Counsel



United States Patent Application

SUBSTITUTE COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that

I verily believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: **DISTORTION MANAGEMENT APPARATUS, SYSTEMS, AND METHODS**.

The specification of which was filed on <u>February 25, 2004</u> as application serial no. <u>10/786,677</u>.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 (attached hereto). I also acknowledge my duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability which became available between a filing date of a prior application and the national or PCT international filing date in the event this is a Continuation-In-Part application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.63(e).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119(a)-(d) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on the basis of which priority is claimed:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 120 or 365(c) of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT international application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(a) which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

I hereby appoint the attorney(s) and/or patent agent(s) associated with Customer Number 45457 to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/organization/who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A. to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to Customer Number 21186 at the address indicated below:

Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A. P.O. Box 2938, Minneapolis, MN 55402 Telephone No. (612)373-6900

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of joint inventor number 1: Stewart S. Taylor
Citizenship: United States of America
Post Office Address: 16927 NW Hazelgrove Ct.
Beaverton, OR 97006
Signature: Date: 1 29 64

X Additional inventors are being named on separately numbered sheets, attached hereto.

Attorney Docket No.: 884.B91US1 Serial No. 10/786,677

Filing Date: February 25, 2004

Full Name of joint inventor number 2: Ian A. Rippke Citizenship: **United States of America** Residence: Ithaca, NY Post Office Address: 719 Triphammer Road Apt. B Ithaca, NY 14850 Date: Signature: Ian A. Rippke Full Name of joint inventor number 3: Georgios Palaskas Citizenship: Greece (Hellenic Republic) Residence: Portland, OR Post Office Address: 901 SW King Avenue Apt. 710 Portland, OR 97205 Georgios Palaskas Date: _____ Signature:

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

Attorney Docket No.884.B91US1

SCHWEGMAN LUNDBERG WOESSNER KLUTH

United States Patent Application

SUBSTITUTE COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that

I verily believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: <u>DISTORTION MANAGEMENT APPARATUS, SYSTEMS, AND METHODS</u>.

The specification of which was filed on February 25, 2004 as application serial no. 10/786,677.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 (attached hereto). I also acknowledge my duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability which became available between a filing date of a prior application and the national or PCT international filing date in the event this is a Continuation-In-Part application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.63(e).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119(a)-(d) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on the basis of which priority is claimed:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 120 or 365(c) of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT international application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(a) which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

Page 2 of 4

I hereby appoint the attorney(s) and/or patent agent(s) associated with Customer Number 45457 to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/organization/who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A. to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to Customer Number 21186 at the address indicated below:

Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A. P.O. Box 2938, Minneapolis, MN 55402 Telephone No. (612)373-6900

belief are believed to be tru made are punishable by fin	e; and further that these statements were r	cnowledge are true and that all statements made on in nade with the knowledge that willful false statement 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that this sued thereon.	s and the like so
Full Name of joint inventor Citizenship: Post Office Address:	number 1: Stewart S. Taylor United States of America 16927 NW Hazelgrove Ct. Beaverton, OR 97006	Residence: Beaverton, OR	
Signature:	Stewart S. Taylor	Date:	
•	heing garred on convertely numbered show	ste attached house.	

X Additional inventors are being named on separately numbered sheets, attached hereto.

Attorney Docket No.: 884.B91US Scrial No. 10/786.677	1		Page 3 of 4
Filing Date: February 25, 2004			
Full Name of joint inventor	number 2: Ian A. Rippke		
Citizenship:	United States of America	Residence: Hinen, NY Macungie, PA	
Post Office Address:	719 Triphammer Road 6701	W L P J	
	Ant-P		
	Ithgen, NY 14850 Macunais	2, PA 1806Z	
		1.1	
Signature:	rto//thi	Date: 12/6/04	
*	lan A. Kyopke	•	
Full Name of joint inventor			
Citizenship:	Greece (Hellenic Republic)	Residence: Portland, OR	
Post Office Address:	901 SW King Avenue		
	Apt. 710		
	Portland, OR 97205		
Cirmutura		Date:	
Signature:	Georgios Palaskas	Date.	
•	acorgios i maskas		

Page 4 of 4

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application:
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

Attorney Docket No.884.B91US1

SCHWEGMAN ■ LUNDBERG ■ WOESSNER ■ KLUTH

United States Patent Application

SUBSTITUTE COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that

I verily believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: <u>DISTORTION MANAGEMENT APPARATUS</u>, <u>SYSTEMS</u>, <u>AND METHODS</u>.

The specification of which was filed on February 25, 2004 as application serial no. 10/786,677.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 (attached hereto). I also acknowledge my duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability which became available between a filing date of a prior application and the national or PCT international filing date in the event this is a Continuation-In-Part application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.63(e).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119(a)-(d) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on the basis of which priority is claimed:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 120 or 365(c) of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT international application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(a) which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

BEST AVAILABLE CORY

Attorney Docket No.: 884.B91US1 Serial No. 10/786,677

Full Name of joint inventor number 1:

Page 2 of 4 Filing Date: February 25, 2004

I hereby appoint the attorney(s) and/or patent agent(s) associated with Customer Number 45457 to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/organization/who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A. to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to Customer Number 21186 at the address indicated below:

Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A. P.O. Box 2938, Minneapolis, MN 55402 Telephone No. (612)373-6900

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Citizenship: Post Office Address:	United States of America 16927 NW Hazelgrove Ct. Beaverton, OR 97006	Residence: Beaverton, OR	
Signature:		Date:	
	Stewart S. Taylor		
-			

Stewart S. Taylor

X Additional inventors are being named on separately numbered sheets, attached hereto.

BEST AVAILARIE CODY

Attorney Docket No.: 884.B91US1 Serial No. 10/786,677

Filing Date: February 25, 2004

Page 3 of 4

Full Name of joint inventor number 2:

Citizenship: Post Office Address:

Signature: _

Ian A. Rippke United States of America

719 Triphammer Road

Apt. B Ithaca, NY 14850 Residence: Ithaca, NY

Ian A. Rippke

Full Name of joint inventor number 3:

Georgios Palaskas

Citizenship: Post Office Address:

Signature

Greece (Hellenic Republic)

901 SW King Avenue

Apt. 710

Portland, OR 97205

Georgios Palaskas

Residence: Portland, OR

- § 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.
- A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being (b) made of record in the application, and
 - It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are: (c)
 - Each inventor named in the application:
 - Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, (d) agent, or inventor.